

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,209	09/11/2003	A. Bart Flick	120101.1070	6556	
23552 75	590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			LEWIS,	кім м	
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- <b>,</b>		3743		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,209	FLICK, A. BART			
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
_		Kim M. Lewis	3743			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess		
	· •	DEDLY IS SET TO EXPIDE A MA		DAVO		
WHIII - Extendible - If No Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor upon to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation.  Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this command the command of the comm	·		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>02 February 2004 and 09 May</u>	<u>2005</u> .			
·	_	This action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 又	Claim(s) 2-21 is/are pending in the appl	ication.				
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-9 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/11/03</u> is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	□ All b) Some * c) None of:	serong princing annual de energi. 5				
·	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been	received in this National St	age		
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmei	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Noti 3) 🕅 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	948) Paper No(s 0/SB/08) 5) Notice of In	)/Mail Date. <u>attached</u> . Iformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/03.3/1/24,7/24/04 8/	(4/04 6) ⊠ Other: <u>Deta</u>		- ,		

Application/Control Number: 10/660,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Power of Attorney

1. The Power of Attorney filed on 5/9/05 has been received and made of record.

## Response to Amendment

2. The preliminary amendment filed on 2/2/04 has been received and made of record. As requested, the specification has been amended, claim 1 has been cancelled, and claims 2-21 have been added.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of promoting healing of a pathology, classified in class 602, subclass 48.
  - II. Claims 10-16, drawn to a method of inducing an analgesic effect in an organism, classified in class 607, subclass 50.
  - III. Claims 17-21, drawn to a composition for inducing an analgesic effect in an organism, classified in class 424, subclass 443.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 3743

the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different effects.

- 5. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different effects.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product such as a method of covering a wound.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. During a telephone conversation with Charles Vorndran on September 28, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 2-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Art Unit: 3743

Office action. Claims 10-21 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

9. The information disclosure statements filed 2/21/04, 10/24/03, 3/1/04,7/26/04 and 8/9/04 have been received. Note the acknowledged forms PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,767,401 ("Seiderman")

As regards claim 2, Seiderman discloses the iontophoretic administration of ionizable polar medicaments to a mammalian body, which anticipates applicant's presently claimed invention. More specifically, Seiderman discloses placing a wound dressing on the wound of user (constituting bridging healthy tissue surrounding the pathology) and passively altering the pathology's electrical by conductively bridging healthy tissue surrounding the pathology potential (constituted by the mixing of the natural body fluids (col. 3, lines 1-7) to establish an electric current for delivering the

medicament (silver-ions) into the wound), wherein the alteration in the pathology's electrical potential inherently promotes healing of the pathology.

As regards claim 5, it is known that the pathologies electric potential is lowered since the produced currents interfere (lowers) with the neurological transmission of pain signals and concomitantly stimulates the release endorphins, the body's own natural analgesic (see U.S. patent 4,767,401, col. 4, lines 3-22).

As regards claim 5, note the rejection of claim 2 above. In further regard to claim 5, the bandage of Seiderman is a conductive substrate (22).

As regards claim 6, note col. 6, lines 3-17, which discloses that gauze pad (28) is coated with a mild solution of silver protein.

As regards claims 8 and 9, silver is a conductive metal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiderman.

As regards claim 7, Seiderman fails to teach the method of claim 6, wherein the conductive substrate further comprises a nonmetallized fiber. Instead Seiderman discloses that the bandage of Figs. 3 and 4 employs a surgical bandage, such as those marketed under the trademark CURAD. Further disclosed is that substrate (22) may be plastic or a polymeric substrate.

In light of the disclosure that the employed bandage is one marketed under the trademark CURAD, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to select a CURAD bandage having a substrate constructed from paper (fiber) rather than plastic or polymeric substrates (e.g., latex) in order to avoid some of the allergic reactions associated with plastic and polymeric substrate bandages.

## Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett, can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone

**Art Unit: 3743** 

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kim M. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Kml September 28, 2005